

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/773,859	02/06/2004	Robert R. Krebs	41099	7223	
25312	7590 05/30/2006		EXAM	INER	
	T INTERNATIONAL	KILIMAN, LESZEK B			
C/O WELSH & FLAXMAN, LLC 2000 DUKE STREET, SUITE 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	A, VA 22314		1773		
		·	DATE MAILED: 05/30/2000	DATE MAILED: 05/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/773,859	KREBS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	leszek b kiliman	1773
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the practice. 	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matter	•
Disposition of Claims	. Expanto quayro, reco c.e.	,
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and claim(s) are subject to restriction and claim(s) are subject to by the Examination of the claim of t	rawn from consideration. d/or election requirement.	<i>t</i> the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the 11). The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ection is required if the drawing(s)) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) \(\sum \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) \(\sum \) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No(s)/Mail Date \(\frac{04-06}{2} \).	Paper No(s)/l	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/773,859 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trogolo'342 in view of Braud'564, '354, '078.

The applied Trogolo'342 reference discloses a high pressure multiple layers laminate comprising antimicrobial agent. The Trogolo'342 discloses that it is known in the art to impregnate paper with claimed resins and an antimicrobial agent and than integrate such paper into a wood laminate. See column 1, lines 57-67, column 2, lines 30-67, column 7, lines 15-25, claims.

The Trogolo'342 reference does not specifically states that such paper may be integrated into a flooring plank. Also, the Trogolo'342 does not specifically teach claimed antimicrobial agents. However, the applied Braud references teach that it is known in the art to use antimicrobial composition in flooring applications.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,859

Art Unit: 1773

See Abstracts and examples in Braud patents. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Braud teachings and apply high pressure laminate of Trogolo'342 to flooring planks since such would improve antimicrobial properties of wood floor. Also, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to choose the antimicrobial agents, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Page 3

The amendments and remarks filed by applicants in their last response have been fully considered. However, the arguments have not been found to be persuasive. The examiner believes that the prior art combination of references clearly motivates one of ordinary skill in the art to integrate antimicrobial paper into flooring planks as it was outlined in the above rejections. Claims remain unpatentable in view of the prior art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to leszek b kiliman whose telephone number is 571-272-1509. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T, 6.30-5.00.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

1k